# The Product Improvement Process as a Driver for Green Chemistry Innovation

Washington State Department of Ecology (DoE) TAAG Industry Alternatives Assessment Webinar Series

Carl D'Ruiz, MPH North America R&D - Regulatory Affairs Henkel Consumer Goods Inc. 11 April 2012



) Excellence is our Passion

## Contents

- 1. Background: Henkel Approach to Green Chemistry
- 2. Product Innovation or Improvement Process
- 3. Safety Plays an Integral Part of the Process
- 4. Illustration of Typical Process & Steps
- 5. Conclusion & Comments

## **Background: Henkel Sustainability Focal Areas**

Green Chemistry & Product Improvement Process (PIP) Imbedded in Corp. Vision & Values





## **Background: Henkel Sustainability Strategy** A Holistic Approach





## **Background: Henkel Promotes More Sustainable Consumption**

 Henkel is calling for collective actions to boost the sustainability of our business activities by a factor of 3 for 2030\*



\* Henkel Chairman Kasper Rorsted's presentation in Montreux to detergent industry (October 6, 2010) http://www.henkel.com/com/content\_data/193659\_Rorsted\_Montreux\_20101006g.pdf



## Sustainable Consumption Index Holistic approach → The matrix





6

## More with Less - Sustainability Innovation History The Example of Laundry Detergents





## **Product Innovation and Improvement Process** All about continuous improvement!





#### Elements Typically Evaluated During Product Innovation or Improvement Process

#### **Cut Across all Product Lifecycle Stages**





## **Step 1 - Green Chemistry Innovation is Driven by the Matrix**

Example: Henkel laundry detergent innovation efforts throughout a product life cycle toward more sustainable consumption (value up & footprint down).





## Safety Assurance is Integral part of Product Life Cycle

During innovation, existing use & product improvement evaluation

## Safety Review of Ingredients and Formulas Typically Occurs Several Times During a Product Life Cycle

- New raw materials
- Prototype formulations
- Clinical safety evaluations
- Consumer use tests
- Market Introduction
- Post-Market Surveillance
- Reformulation



#### Step 2 – Typical Screening Process for Raw Materials & Possible Product Improvement Assessments





**Step 3 – Aspirations & Parameters for Green Chemistry Innovation** General Metrics for "Green" Chemistry in Home and Personal Care Products

- Higher levels of sustainable, easily renewable resources
- Use of ecological-friendly chemicals
- Better Safety and Toxicity Profiles



#### Step 3 (cont.) – Examples of More Specific Elements and Parameters Targeted During Product Innovation or Improvement Process

## Example: Development of Home & Personal Care Products with Bio-Preferred Surfactants and/or Naturally Sourced Ingredients

- Derived from "renewable" feedstock sources
- Does not represent a human health risk under use conditions
- Undergoes rapid & extensive biodegradation
- Acceptable level of aquatic toxicity
- Does not accumulate in any environmental compartment
- Complies with pertinent regulations and readily available in desired quantities
- Acceptable formulation compatibility/performance/cost
- Acceptable from consumer and claims perspective
- Other (e.g., recycled package content, more concentrated, smaller package, etc.)



Step 3 (Cont.) – Additional Elements and Parameters Targeted For select products designated as EPA DfE

## Meets DfE Criteria for Direct Release Surfactant Product

	Acute Aquatic Toxicity Value (L/E/IC50) <sup>1</sup>	Persistence (Measured in terms of rate of biodegradation)	Status
1	≤10 ppm		Not acceptable
2	>10 ppm and <100 ppm	Biodegradation occurs within a 10- day window without products of concern <sup>3</sup>	Acceptable
3	≥100 ppm	Biodegradation occurs within 28 days without products of concern	Acceptable

Source: DfE's Standard and Criteria for Safer Chemical Ingredients http://www.epa.gov/dfe/pubs/projects/gfcp/index.htm#Toxicity



## **Step 4 – Generic Comparative Assessment**

Factors Considered in Screening & Comparative Assessment of Potential Candidates





16

#### **Step 5 – Decision-Making** Example of Acceptance Process

•Meets all selection criteria in sustainability matrix?

• Does not represent a health risk under use conditions?

- Undergoes rapid & extensive biodegradation
- Derived from "renewable" feedstock sources?
- Has attributes that are important for product

•Meets EPA DfE requirements?

#### **FINAL EVALUATION & SELECTION**

- Incorporate into test formulations
- Test formulations for performance
- Test formulations for human & environmental safety
- Confirm consumer acceptance
- Substantiate claims



## **Green Chemistry Innovation Accomplishments Examples**

#### Home & Personal Care Green Chemistry Product Accomplishments

#### Home care and laundry products:

- Bio-based surfactants & naturally sourced ingredients
- Biodegradable
- Packaging with less plastics and recycled plastics
- Concentrated products with less water
- Less transportation saving fuel and GHG emission
- Cold water laundry detergent saving energy in consumer home use

#### Personal care products:

- Cold process formulations saving energy in production
- Naturally sourced ingredients, hypoallergenic and gentle to skin
- Novel product design saving water in consumer home use

#### Products with EPA "Design for Environment" (DfE) designation



#### **Eco-innovation Towards Greener Chemical Ingredients** Examples: Continuous Improvements for Greener Surfactants





#### **Eco-innovation Towards Greener Chemical Ingredients (Cont')**

Example: Effective chemical safety evaluation under HERA risk assessment program based on industry voluntary measures

KPI	REPORTING DATA	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010
Chemicals safety evaluation	% of ingredients covered by HERA° (I&I not included)	64.3 %	68.6 %	72.9%	75.7%	74.7%	75.5%
Participating companies	Companies reporting (number of)	8	19	33	45	59	65
	Manufacturing sites covered	62	78	108	133	152	162
	% vs Total	81.6%	78.8%	84.4%	88.7%	89.9%	92.6%
	Production covered	7.3 m t	9.3 m t	10.5 m t	11.1 m t	11.1 m t	11.6 m t
	% vs Total	86.2 %	86.1 %	92.1%	94.7%	95.7%	97.8%
	Units of consumer products sold (I&I not included)	5,800 m	8,200 m	9,300 m	9,700 m	10,200 m	10,300 m
	KPI Chemicals safety evaluation Participating companies	KPIREPORTING DATAChemicals safety ovaluation% of ingredients covered by HERA° (I&I not included)Participating companiesCompanies reporting (number of) Manufacturing sites covered % vs TotalProduction covered % vs Total% vs TotalUnits of consumer products sold (I&I not included)	KPIREPORTING DATA2005Chemicals safety evaluation% of ingredients covered by HERA° (I&I not included)64.3 %Participating companiesCompanies reporting (number of) Manufacturing sites covered8Manufacturing sites covered % vs Total62% vs Total81.6%Production covered % vs Total7.3 m t% vs Total86.2 %Units of consumer products sold (I&I not included)5,800 m	KPIREPORTING DATA20052006Chemicals safety evaluation% of ingredients covered by HERA° (I&I not included)64.3 %68.6 %Participating companiesCompanies reporting (number of)819Manufacturing sites covered6278% vs Total81.6%78.8%Production covered7.3 m t9.3 m t% vs Total86.2 %86.1 %Units of consumer products sold (I&I) not included)5,800 m8,200 m	KPIREPORTING DATA200520062007Chemicals safety ovaluation% of ingredients covered by HERA° (l&l not included)64.3 %68.6 %72.9%Participating companiesCompanies reporting (number of)81933Manufacturing sites covered6278108% vs Total81.6%78.8%84.4%Production covered7.3 m t9.3 m t10.5 m t% vs Total86.2 %86.1 %92.1%Units of consumer products sold (l&l not included)5,800 m8,200 m9,300 m	KPI REPORTING DATA 2005 2006 2007 2008   Chemicals safety evaluation % of ingredients covered by HERA° (I&I not included) 64.3 % 68.6 % 72.9% 75.7%   Participating companies Companies reporting (number of) 8 19 33 45   Manufacturing sites covered 62 78 108 133   % vs Total 81.6% 78.8% 84.4% 88.7%   Production covered 7.3 m t 9.3 m t 10.5 m t 11.1 m t   % vs Total 86.2 % 86.1 % 92.1% 94.7%   Units of consumer products sold (I&I not included) 5,800 m 8,200 m 9,300 m 9,700 m	KPI REPORTING DATA 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009   Chemicals safety evaluation % of ingredients covered by HERA° (l&l not included) 64.3 % 68.6 % 72.9% 75.7% 74.7%   Participating companies Companies reporting (number of) 8 19 33 45 59   Manufacturing sites covered 62 78 108 133 152   % vs Total 81.6% 78.8% 84.4% 88.7% 89.9%   Production covered 7.3 m t 9.3 m t 10.5 m t 11.1 m t 11.1 m t   % vs Total 86.2 % 86.1 % 92.1% 94.7% 95.7%   Units of consumer products sold (l&i not included) 5,800 m 8,200 m 9,300 m 9,700 m 10,200 m

\* A digest from AISE Activity and Sustainability Report 2010-2011: http://www.aise.eu/downloads/AISE-AR-SR%202010-2011\_web-version.pdf



## **Conclusion & Comments**

Utilization of the Product Improvement Process -

- ✓ Successfully drives green chemistry & sustainability innovation
- Incorporates the product safety, performance and lifecycle evaluation elements common in AAs
- Recognizes trade-offs
- Addition of onerous regulatory elements to the process can result in unintended consequences such as -
  - ✓ Increases in time & resources for new product development
  - Loss of confidential business information & trade secrets
  - Creation of a non-leveled playing field in the global marketplace



# Thank you!



(Henkel) Excellence is our Passion

# ADDITIONAL SLIDES



## **EPA DfE Program - Basic Components**

- Promote green chemistry
- Understand toxicity
- Life cycle thinking

24

**Continuum of Improvement** 

## Formula Ingredient by Functional Class



